arXiv:1410.3620v1 [math.SP] 14 Oct 2014

Bari–Markus property for Dirac operators

Ya. V. Mykytyuk, D. V. Puyda*
Ivan Franko National University of Lviv
1 Universytetska str., Lviv, 79000, Ukraine

Abstract

We prove the Bari–Markus property for spectral projectors of non-self-adjoint Dirac operators on $(0,1)$ with square-integrable matrix-valued potentials and some separated boundary conditions.

1 Introduction and main results

In the Hilbert space $\mathbb{H} := L_2((0,1),\mathbb{C}^{2r})$, we study the non-self-adjoint Dirac operator

$$T_Q := J\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} + Q$$

on the domain

$$D(T_Q) := \left\{(y_1,y_2)^\top \mid y_1,y_2 \in W_2^1((0,1),\mathbb{C}^r),\quad y_1(0)=y_2(0),\ y_1(1)=y_2(1)\right\}.$$

Here,

$$J := \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}}\begin{pmatrix} I & 0\\ 0 & -I\end{pmatrix},\qquad Q := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q_1\\ q_2 & 0\end{pmatrix},$$

$I := I_r$ is the $r\times r$ identity matrix, $q_1,q_2 \in L_2((0,1),\mathcal{M}_r)$, $\mathcal{M}_r$ is the set of $r\times r$ matrices with complex entries and $W_2^1((0,1),\mathbb{C}^r)$ is the Sobolev space of $\mathbb{C}^r$-valued functions. All functions Q as above form the set

$$\mathfrak{Q}_2 := \{Q \in L_2((0,1),\mathcal{M}_{2r}) \mid JQ(x) = -Q(x)J \text{ a.e. on } (0,1)\}$$

and will be called *potentials* of the operators T_Q.

The spectrum $\sigma(T_Q)$ of the operator T_Q consists of countably many isolated eigenvalues of finite algebraic multiplicities. We denote by $\lambda_j := \lambda_j(Q)$, $j\in\mathbb{Z}$, the pairwise distinct eigenvalues of the operator T_Q arranged by non-decreasing of their real – and then, if equal, imaginary – parts. For definiteness, we also assume that $\operatorname{Re}\lambda_0 \le 0 < \operatorname{Re}\lambda_1$. As can be proved using the standard technique based on Rouche's theorem, the numbers λ_j, $j\in\mathbb{Z}$, satisfy the condition

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\lambda_j\in\Delta_n} 1 < \infty \tag{1.1}$$

and the asymptotics

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\lambda_j\in\Delta_n} |\lambda_j - \pi n|^2 < \infty, \tag{1.2}$$

Email addresses: yamykytyuk@yahoo.com (Ya. V. Mykytyuk), dpuyda@gmail.com (D. V. Puyda)

where $\Delta_n := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \pi n - \pi/2 < \operatorname{Re}\lambda \le \pi n + \pi/2\}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We then denote by P_{λ_j} the spectral projector of the operator T_Q corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_j (see [8, Chap.3]). We write

$$\mathcal{P}_n := \sum_{\lambda_j \in \Delta_n} P_{\lambda_j}, \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

for the spectral projector of T_Q corresponding to the strip Δ_n.

In particular, in the free case $Q = 0$ one has $\sigma(T_0) = \{\pi n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$. We then write $\mathcal{P}_n^0$ for the spectral projector of the free operator T_0 corresponding to the strip Δ_n, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The main result of this paper is the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1 *For every $Q \in \mathfrak{Q}_2$, it holds*

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \left\|\mathcal{P}_n - \mathcal{P}_n^0\right\|^2 < \infty\,. \tag{1.3}$$

Relation (1.3) is called the *Bari–Markus property* of spectral projectors of the operator T_Q.

In the scalar case $r = 1$, the Bari–Markus property for the operator T_Q, as well as for the operators with periodic and anti-periodic boundary conditions, was established in [1] to prove the unconditional convergence of spectral decompositions for such operators. Therein, P. Djakov and B. Mityagin used a technique based on Fourier representations of Dirac operators. This technique was further developed to prove the similar property for Dirac operators with regular boundary conditions in [3]. For Hill operators with singular potentials, the Bari–Markus property was established in [2].

A different and simpler technique based on some convenient representation of resolvents of the operators under consideration was used in [6] to establish the Bari–Markus property for Sturm–Liouville operators with matrix-valued potentials (see [6, Lemma 2.12]). Therein, this result was used to solve the inverse spectral problem for such operators. For the same purpose, the Bari–Markus property was established for self-adjoint Dirac operators with square-integrable matrix-valued potentials in [5].

In the present paper, we use the technique suggested in [6] to establish the Bari–Markus property for non-self-adjoint Dirac operators with square-integrable matrix-valued potentials. This result can be used to study the inverse spectral problems for non-self-adjoint Dirac operators on a finite intervals.

The paper is organized as follows. In the reminder of this sections, we introduce some notations that are used in this paper. In Sects. 2 and 3, we provide some preliminary results and prove Theorem 1.1, respectively.

Notations. Throughout this paper, we identify $\mathcal{M}_r$ with the Banach algebra of linear operators in $\mathbb{C}^r$ endowed with the standard norm. If there is no ambiguity, we write simply $\|\cdot\|$ for norms of operators and matrices.

We denote by $L_2((a,b),\mathcal{M}_r)$ the Banach space of all strongly measurable functions $f : (a,b) \to \mathcal{M}_r$ for which the norm

$$\|f\|_{L_2} := \left(\int_a^b \|f(t)\|^2 \mathrm{d}t\right)^{1/2}$$

is finite. We denote by $G_2(\mathcal{M}_r)$ the set of all measurable functions $K : [0,1]^2 \to \mathcal{M}_r$ such that for all $x, t \in [0,1]$, the functions $K(x,\cdot)$ and $K(\cdot,t)$ belong to $L_2((0,1),\mathcal{M}_r)$ and, moreover, the mappings $[0,1] \ni x \mapsto K(x,\cdot) \in L_2((0,1),\mathcal{M}_r)$ and $[0,1] \ni t \mapsto K(\cdot,t) \in L_2((0,1),\mathcal{M}_r)$ are continuous. We denote by $G_2^+(\mathcal{M}_r)$ the set of all functions $K \in G_2(\mathcal{M}_r)$ such that $K(x,t) = 0$ a.e. in the triangle $\Omega_- := \{(x,t) \mid 0 < x < t < 1\}$. The superscript $\top$ designates the transposition of vectors and matrices.

2 Preliminary results

In this section, we obtain some preliminary results and introduce some auxiliary objects that will be used in this paper.

For an arbitrary potential $Q \in \mathfrak{Q}_2$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, we denote by $Y_Q(\cdot,\lambda) \in W_2^1((0,1),\mathcal{M}_{2r})$ the $2r \times 2r$ matrix-valued solution of the Cauchy problem

$$J\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}Y + QY = \lambda Y, \qquad Y(0,\lambda) = I_{2r}. \tag{2.1}$$

We set $\varphi_Q(\cdot,\lambda) := Y_Q(\cdot,\lambda)Ja^*$ and $\psi_Q(\cdot,\lambda) := Y_Q(\cdot,\lambda)a^*$, where $a := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} I, & -I \end{pmatrix}$, so that $\varphi_Q(\cdot,\lambda)$ and $\psi_Q(\cdot,\lambda)$ are the $2r \times r$ matrix-valued solutions of the Cauchy problems

$$J\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\varphi + Q\varphi = \lambda\varphi, \qquad \varphi(0,\lambda) = Ja^*, \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$J\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\psi + Q\psi = \lambda\psi, \qquad \psi(0,\lambda) = a^*,$$

respectively. For an arbitrary $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, we introduce the operator $\Phi_Q(\lambda) : \mathbb{C}^r \to \mathbb{H}$ by the formula

$$[\Phi_Q(\lambda)c](x) := \varphi_Q(x,\lambda)c, \qquad x \in [0,1].$$

We set $s_Q(\lambda) := a\varphi_Q(1,\lambda)$ and $c_Q(\lambda) := a\psi_Q(1,\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. The function

$$m_Q(\lambda) := -s_Q(\lambda)^{-1}c_Q(\lambda)$$

will be called the *Weyl–Titchmarsh function* of the operator T_Q. Note that in the free case $Q = 0$ one has $s_0(\lambda) = (\sin\lambda)I$, $c_0(\lambda) = (\cos\lambda)I$ and $m_0(\lambda) = -(\cot\lambda)I$.

The following proposition is a straightforward analogue of Lemma 2.1 in [5]:

Proposition 2.1 *For an arbitrary potential $Q \in \mathfrak{Q}_2$ it holds:*

(i) there exists a unique function $K_Q \in G_2^+(\mathcal{M}_{2r})$ such that for every $x \in [0,1]$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\varphi_Q(x,\lambda) = \varphi_0(x,\lambda) + \int_0^x K_Q(x,s)\varphi_0(s,\lambda)\,\mathrm{d}s,$$

where $\varphi_0(\cdot,\lambda)$ is a solution of (2.2) in the free case $Q = 0$;

(ii) there exist unique functions $f_1 := f_{Q,1}$ and $f_2 := f_{Q,2}$ from $L_2((-1,1),\mathcal{M}_r)$ such that for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$s_Q(\lambda) = (\sin\lambda)I + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{-1}^1 \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\lambda s}f_1(s)\,\mathrm{d}s, \quad c_Q(\lambda) = (\cos\lambda)I + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{-1}^1 \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\lambda s}f_2(s)\,\mathrm{d}s. \tag{2.3}$$

In particular, Proposition 2.1 implies the following corollary:

Corollary 2.1 *For an arbitrary $Q \in \mathfrak{Q}_2$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,*

$$\Phi_Q(\lambda) = (\mathcal{I} + \mathcal{K}_Q)\Phi_0(\lambda), \tag{2.4}$$

where $\mathcal{K}_Q$ is the integral operator with kernel K_Q and $\mathcal{I}$ is the identity operator in $\mathbb{H}$.

Using the first formula in (2.3) and repeating the proof of Theorem 3 in [7], one can also derive the following:

Corollary 2.2 *The set of zeros of the entire function $\widetilde{s}_Q(\lambda) := \det s_Q(\lambda)$ can be indexed (counting multiplicities) by numbers $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that the corresponding sequence $(\xi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ has the asymptotics*

$$\xi_{kr+j} = \pi k + \omega_{j,k}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad j = 0, \dots, r-1,$$

where the sequences $(\omega_{j,k})_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ belong to $\ell_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Now let $\rho(T_Q)$ denote the resolvent set of the operator T_Q.

Lemma 2.1 *For an arbitrary $Q \in \mathfrak{Q}_2$ it holds $\rho(T_Q) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \ker s_Q(\lambda) = \{0\}\}$ and for each $\lambda \in \rho(T_Q)$,*

$$(T_Q - \lambda\mathcal{I})^{-1} = \Phi_Q(\lambda) m_Q(\lambda) \Phi_{Q^*}(\overline{\lambda})^* + \mathcal{T}_Q(\lambda), \tag{2.5}$$

where $\mathcal{T}_Q$ is an entire operator-valued function. The spectrum of the operator T_Q consists of countably many isolated eigenvalues of finite algebraic multiplicities.

Proof. A direct verification shows that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\left(JY_{Q^*}(x,\overline{\lambda})^* JY_Q(x,\lambda)\right) = 0.$$

Therefore, taking into account (2.1), we find that $-JY_{Q^*}(x,\overline{\lambda})^* JY_Q(x,\lambda) = I_{2r}$ for every $x \in [0,1]$ and thus

$$Y_Q(x,\lambda) J Y_{Q^*}(x,\overline{\lambda})^* = J, \qquad x \in [0,1].$$

Since $J = Ja^*a + a^*aJ$, the latter can be rewritten as

$$\varphi_Q(x,\lambda)\psi_{Q^*}(x,\overline{\lambda})^* - \psi_Q(x,\lambda)\varphi_{Q^*}(x,\overline{\lambda})^* = J, \qquad x \in [0,1]. \tag{2.6}$$

Using (2.6), one can verify that for an arbitrary $f \in \mathbb{H}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, the function

$$g(x,\lambda) = [\mathcal{T}_Q(\lambda)f](x) := \psi_Q(x,\lambda)\int_0^x \varphi_{Q^*}(t,\overline{\lambda})^* f(t)\,\mathrm{d}t + \varphi_Q(x,\lambda)\int_x^1 \psi_{Q^*}(t,\overline{\lambda})^* f(t)\,\mathrm{d}t$$

solves the Cauchy problem

$$Jy' + Qy = \lambda y + f, \qquad y_1(0) = y_2(0). \tag{2.7}$$

Since for every $c \in \mathbb{C}^r$, the function $h(\cdot,\lambda) := \varphi_Q(\cdot,\lambda)c$ solves (2.7) with $f = 0$, it then follows that a generic solution of (2.7) takes the form $y = \varphi_Q(\cdot,\lambda)c + \mathcal{T}_Q(\lambda)f$, $c \in \mathbb{C}^r$. If $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is such that the $r \times r$ matrix $s_Q(\lambda) := a\varphi_Q(1,\lambda)$ is non-singular, then the choice

$$c = -s_Q(\lambda)^{-1} c_Q(\lambda) \int_0^1 \varphi_{Q^*}(t,\overline{\lambda})^* f(t)\,\mathrm{d}t$$

implies that $ay(1) = 0$, i.e. $y_1(1) = y_2(1)$. Therefore, every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\ker s_Q(\lambda) = \{0\}$ is a resolvent point of the operator T_Q and for such λ it holds

$$(T_Q - \lambda\mathcal{I})^{-1} = \Phi_Q(\lambda) m_Q(\lambda) \Phi_{Q^*}(\overline{\lambda})^* + \mathcal{T}_Q(\lambda).$$

To complete the proof, it remains to observe that the function $y = \varphi_Q(\cdot,\lambda)c$ is a non-zero solution of the problem

$$Jy' + Qy = \lambda y, \qquad y_1(0) = y_2(0), \quad y_1(1) = y_2(1)$$

if and only if $c \in \ker s_Q(\lambda) \setminus \{0\}$. Since the values of the resolvent of the operator T_Q are compact operators, it follows that all spectral projectors P_{λ_j}, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, are finite dimensional. In particular, it then follows (see, e.g., [4, Theorem 2.2]) that all eigenvalues of the operator T_Q are of finite algebraic multiplicities. □

From Lemma 2.1 we obtain that eigenvalues of the operator T_Q are zeros of the entire function $\widetilde{s}_Q(\lambda) := \det s_Q(\lambda)$. In view of Corollary 2.2 we then arrive at the following:

Corollary 2.3 *For an arbitrary potential $Q \in \mathfrak{Q}_2$, eigenvalues of the operator T_Q satisfy the condition (1.1) and the asymptotics (1.2).*

Now we can introduce the spectral projectors of the operator T_Q as explained in the previous section. Formulas (2.4) and (2.5) will serve as an efficient tool to prove Theorem 1.1.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.1. We start with the following auxiliary lemma:

Lemma 3.1 *For an arbitrary $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, let the operator $A(\lambda) : L_2((-1,1), \mathcal{M}_r) \to \mathcal{M}_r$ act by the formula*

$$A(\lambda)f := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\lambda t} f(t)\, \mathrm{d}t.$$

Then for an arbitrary $f \in L_2((-1,1), \mathcal{M}_r)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}_0 := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| = 1\}$,

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \|A(\pi n + \lambda)f\|^2 \le 9r \|f\|_{L_2}^2. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. Let $f \in L_2((-1,1), \mathcal{M}_r)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}_0$ and $\|S\|_2$ denote the Hilbert–Schmidt norm of a matrix $S \in \mathcal{M}_r$. Since $\left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\pi n t} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an orthonormal basis in $L_2(-1,1)$, it follows that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \|A(\pi n)f\|^2 \le \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \|A(\pi n)f\|_2^2 = \int_{-1}^{1} \|f(x)\|_2^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \le r \int_{-1}^{1} \|f(x)\|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

Taking into account that $A(\pi n + \lambda)f = A(\pi n) f_1$ with $f_1(t) := \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\lambda t} f(t)$ and that $\|f_1\|_{L_2} < 3\|f\|_{L_2}$, we then arrive at (3.1). □

Remark 3.1 *In the notations of the above lemma, formulas (2.3) can be rewritten as*

$$s_Q(\lambda) = (\sin \lambda) I + A(\lambda) f_1, \qquad c_Q(\lambda) = (\cos \lambda) I + A(\lambda) f_2. \tag{3.2}$$

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.1:

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Recalling formula (2.5) and the asymptotics (1.2) of eigenvalues of the operator T_Q, we obtain that there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $|n| > N$,

$$\mathcal{P}_n := -\frac{1}{2\pi \mathrm{i}} \oint_{\mathbb{T}_n} \Phi_Q(\lambda) m_Q(\lambda) \Phi_{Q^*}(\overline{\lambda})^* \mathrm{d}\lambda, \qquad \mathcal{P}_n^0 := -\frac{1}{2\pi \mathrm{i}} \oint_{\mathbb{T}_n} \Phi_0(\lambda) m_0(\lambda) \Phi_0(\overline{\lambda})^* \mathrm{d}\lambda,$$

where $\mathbb{T}_n := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda - \pi n| = 1\}$. Therefore, for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $|n| > N$,

$$\|\mathcal{P}_n - \mathcal{P}_n^0\| = \left\| -\frac{1}{2\pi \mathrm{i}} \oint_{\mathbb{T}_n} \left(\Phi_Q(\lambda) m_Q(\lambda) \Phi_{Q^*}(\overline{\lambda})^* - \Phi_0(\lambda) m_0(\lambda) \Phi_0(\overline{\lambda})^* \right) \mathrm{d}\lambda \right\| \le \|\alpha_n\| + \|\beta_n\|,$$

where

$$\alpha_n := -\frac{1}{2\pi \mathrm{i}} \oint_{\mathbb{T}_n} \Phi_Q(\lambda)(m_Q(\lambda) - m_0(\lambda))\Phi_{Q^*}(\overline{\lambda})^* \mathrm{d}\lambda \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\beta_n := -\frac{1}{2\pi \mathrm{i}} \oint_{\mathbb{T}_n} \left(\Phi_Q(\lambda)m_0(\lambda)\Phi_{Q^*}(\overline{\lambda})^* - \Phi_0(\lambda)m_0(\lambda)\Phi_0(\overline{\lambda})^*\right) \mathrm{d}\lambda.$$

The theorem will be proved if we show that $\sum_{|n|>N} \|\alpha_n\|^2 < \infty$ and $\sum_{|n|>N} \|\beta_n\|^2 < \infty$.

Let us prove the claim for (α_n) first. Taking into account (3.2), observe that

$$m_Q(\lambda) - m_0(\lambda) = s_Q(\lambda)^{-1} \left[(\cot \lambda)A(\lambda)f_1 - A(\lambda)f_2\right], \tag{3.4}$$

where $A(\lambda)$ is from Lemma 3.1. Note that by virtue of the Riemann–Lebesgue lemma, without loss of generality we may assume that

$$\sup_{|n|>N} \sup_{\lambda\in\mathbb{T}_n} \|A(\lambda)f_1\| \le \frac{1}{4}.$$

Since for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}_n$ one has $|\sin\lambda| \ge 1/2$, in view of the first formula in (3.2) it then holds

$$\|s_Q(\lambda)^{-1}\| \le |\sin\lambda|^{-1}(1 - |\sin\lambda|^{-1}\|A(\lambda)f_1\|)^{-1} \le 4, \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{T}_n, \quad |n| > N.$$

Since $|\cot\lambda| \le \sqrt{3}$ as $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}_n$, from (3.4) we then obtain that

$$\|m_Q(\lambda) - m_0(\lambda)\|^2 \le 64(\|A(\lambda)f_1\|^2 + \|A(\lambda)f_2\|^2), \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{T}_n, \quad |n| > N. \tag{3.5}$$

Next, taking into account (2.4), observe that for an arbitrary $Q \in \mathfrak{Q}_2$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}_n$ it holds

$$\|\Phi_Q(\lambda)\| \le \|\mathcal{I} + \mathcal{K}_Q\|\|\Phi_0(\lambda)\| \le 2\|\mathcal{I} + \mathcal{K}_Q\|. \tag{3.6}$$

By virtue of the Cauchy–Bunyakovsky inequality we then obtain from (3.3), (3.5) and (3.6) that for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $|n| > N$,

$$\|\alpha_n\|^2 \le C \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\|A(\pi n + \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t})f_1\|^2 + \|A(\pi n + \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t})f_2\|^2\right) \mathrm{d}t$$

with some $C > 0$. In view of Lemma 3.1 we then obtain that $\sum_{|n|>N} \|\alpha_n\|^2 < \infty$.

It thus only remains to prove that $\sum_{|n|>N} \|\beta_n\|^2 < \infty$. For this purpose, take into account (2.4) and observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_Q(\lambda)m_0(\lambda)\Phi_{Q^*}(\overline{\lambda})^* - \Phi_0(\lambda)m_0(\lambda)\Phi_0(\overline{\lambda})^* = \\ \mathcal{K}_Q\Phi_0(\lambda)m_0(\lambda)\Phi_0(\overline{\lambda})^* + \Phi_0(\lambda)m_0(\lambda)\Phi_0(\overline{\lambda})^*\mathcal{K}_{Q^*}^* + \mathcal{K}_Q\Phi_0(\lambda)m_0(\lambda)\Phi_0(\overline{\lambda})^*\mathcal{K}_{Q^*}^*.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\beta_n = \mathcal{K}_Q\mathcal{P}_n^0 + [\mathcal{K}_{Q^*}\mathcal{P}_n^0]^* + \mathcal{K}_Q\mathcal{P}_n^0\mathcal{K}_{Q^*}^*$ and thus the claim will be proved if we show that for an arbitrary $Q \in \mathfrak{Q}_2$,

$$\sum_{|n|>N} \|\mathcal{K}_Q\mathcal{P}_n^0\|^2 < \infty. \tag{3.7}$$

To this end, note that the operator $\mathcal{K}_Q$ belongs to the Hilbert–Schmidt class $\mathcal{B}_2$ and that the sequence $(\mathcal{P}_n^0)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ consists of pairwise orthogonal projectors. Therefore, it holds

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \|\mathcal{K}_Q\mathcal{P}_n^0\|^2 \le \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \|\mathcal{K}_Q\mathcal{P}_n^0\|_{\mathcal{B}_2}^2 \le \|\mathcal{K}_Q\|_{\mathcal{B}_2}^2.$$

Hence (3.7) follows and the proof is complete. □

References

[1] P. Djakov and B. Mityagin, *Bari-Markus property for Riesz projections of 1D periodic Dirac operators*, Math. Nachr. **283** (2010), 443-462.

[2] P. Djakov and B. Mityagin, *Bari–Markus property for Riesz projections of Hill operators with singular potentials*, Contemporary Math. **481** (2009), 59-80.

[3] P. Djakov and B. Mityagin, *Unconditional convergence of spectral decompositions of 1D Dirac operators with regular boundary conditions*, Indiana University Math. Journal **61** (2012), 359–398.

[4] I. C. Gohberg and M. G. Krein. Introduction to the theory of linear non-self-adjoint operators, Transl. Math. Monographs, vol. 18, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1969.

[5] Ya. V. Mykytyuk and D. V. Puyda, *Inverse spectral problems for Dirac operators on a finite interval*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **386** (2012), 177–194.

[6] Ya. V. Mykytyuk and N. S. Trush, *Inverse spectral problems for Sturm–Liouville operators with matrix-valued potentials*, Inverse Problems **26** (2010), no. 015009.

[7] N. Trush, *Asymptotics of singular values of entire matrix-valued sine-type functions*, Mat. Stud. **30** (2008), 95–97.

[8] T Kato. Perturbation theory for linear operators. – Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer-Verlag, 1966.